

**JOINT ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION FOR THE STATE OF GOA AND  
UNION TERRITORIES, GURGAON**

Coram  
Dr. V. K. Garg, Chairperson  
Shri R. K. Sharma, FIE Member

Petition No. 7 /2009  
With IA No. /2009

In the matter of :

Petition under Section 86 (1) (f) of the Electricity Act, 2003 for direction to the respondents to pay the long standing dues / for settlement of long pending issues / payments.

And in the matter of  
Suryachakra Power Corporation Ltd.,  
Hyderabad

**Petitioner**

**Versus**

1. Chief Secretary, Andaman & Nicobar  
Administration, Port Blair
2. Superintending Engineer, Electricity  
Department, Andaman & Nicobar  
Administration, Port Blair

**Respondent**

Present

For the petitioner :

1. Shri K. J. Joseph
2. Shri P Sriram
3. Shri Rohit Rao N
4. Shri K Vijai Kumar

For the Respondent :

1. Ms. S. K. Pabla, Jr. Engineer  
(Authorised Representative)

**Order**

**Date of Hearing – 23.02.2010**

Ms. S. K. Pabla, Jr. Engineer, Authorised Representative of the respondent stated that an application / letter dated 17.02.2010 No. 4/2(8)/2007, Power is filed on behalf of the respondent for seeking adjournment of the case from 23.02.2010 to 8<sup>th</sup> or 9<sup>th</sup> March, 2010 on the ground that Superintending Engineer, Electricity Department, Port Blair is scheduled to visit New Delhi on 5<sup>th</sup> March, 2010 in connection with E.F.C meeting of Government of India relating to Electricity Department and therefore, the hearing of the case may kindly be refixed on either 8<sup>th</sup> or 9<sup>th</sup> March, 2010, so as to enable the Superintending Engineer to attend both the E. F. C meeting on 5.3.2010 and hearing at the Commission at New Delhi in one visit.

2. The application for seeking adjournment of the case is not filed by the respondent in accordance with the Joint Electricity Regulatory Commission (Conduct of Business) Regulation, 2009 and is not accompanied by an Affidavit of the authorised representative.
3. Adjournment of the case can not be sought by a party as a matter of course or for the reason that it is more convenient for the party concerned to appear on a particular date. The party seeking adjournment of the case must show sufficient cause for adjourning the case. There is no sufficient ground or cause shown by the respondent for seeking adjournment and their application is rejected.
4. Counsel for the petitioner submitted that since the respondents are examining possibility of releasing the amounts as mentioned in paragraph 2 and 3 of letter No. 4-2(8)/2010-Power (PF-II) Andaman and Nicobar Administration Secretariat, dated 22<sup>nd</sup> Feb. 2010, the case may therefore

be adjourned by 4 weeks by which time there is a likelihood of a favourable outcome.


5. As requested by Counsel for the petitioner the matter is adjourned. List on 23.03.2010.

sd\  
**(R. K. SHARMA)**  
**MEMBER**

sd\  
**(DR. V. K. GARG)**  
**CHAIRPERSON**

Gurgaon, dated this 5<sup>th</sup> March day of February, 2010

**Certified Copy**

  
**(J.S. Sehrawat)**  
**Secretary**